

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 082235

ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 DHA-02 CU-02 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07
L-03 ACDA-07 NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02
TRSE-00 DODE-00 H-01 NSC-05 SSO-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00
USIE-00 /063 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPM:JJMARESCA:PF

APPROVED BY EUR:JGLOWENSTEIN

-----130114Z 045037 /72-70

O 122332Z APR 77

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION NATO IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 082235

HOLD FOR OPENING OF BUSINESS

E.O. 11652: ;DS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO

SUBJECT: IS DRAFT REPORT ON PREPARATIONS FOR BELGRADE

REF: USNATO 1934

1. DEPARTMENT HAS REVIEWED IS DRAFT REPORT ON PREPARATIONS
FOR BELGRADE, WHICH WE FIND GENERALLY EXCELLENT. YOU SHOULD
COMPLIMENT IS FOR SUPERB JOB OF SUMMARIZING EXPERTS
DISCUSSIONS.

2. OUR SPECIFIC COMMENTS FOLLOW, KEYED TO PARAGRAPH
NUMBERING AND PAGINATION OF DOCUMENT ISD/146:

(A) PAGE 3, PARA (VIII), LINE 4: WE DO NOT BELIEVE
"DISARMAMENT ISSUES" IS THE BEST WAY TO REFER TO MILITARY
ISSUES TREATED IN CSCE. WE WOULD PREFER "MILITARY SECURITY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 082235

ISSUES," WHEREVER IT IS NECESSARY TO REFER TO THIS CSCE
SUBJECT AREA.

(B) PAGE 3 BIS, FIRST PARA, LINE 7: (SEE PARA (A) ABOVE).

(C) PAGE 3 BIS, PARA (IX), LINE 3: CHANGE "REASONABLE" TO
READ "ACCURATE".

(D) PAGE 4 PARA (XIV): WHILE WE COMMEND THE IS FOR TRYING TO FIND A DIFFERENT TERM FOR "NEW PROPOSALS" SO AS NOT TO SUGGEST COMPLETELY NEW IDEAS, BUT RATHER IDEAS GROWING OUT OF THE FINAL ACT, WE OBJECT TO THE TERM THEY HAVE CHOSEN -- "FOLLOW-UP PROPOSALS" -- SINCE IT CREATES CONFUSION WITH THE ISSUE OF BELGRADE FOLLOW-UP, AND EVEN SEEMS TO SUGGEST THAT NEW PROPOSALS WOULD NEED TO HAVE THEIR OWN "FOLLOW-UP". UNLESS POLADS CAN FIND A TERM WHICH AVOIDS THIS AND OTHER PROBLEMS, WE PREFER TO STICK WITH TERM "NEW PROPOSALS". THUS WE SUGGEST CHANGING PENULTIMATE SENTENCE TO READ. "NEW PROPOSALS PUT FORWARD UNDER THE DEEPENING OF RELATIONS ITEM SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IN COMMITTEES."

(E) PAGE 4, PARA (XIV), FINAL SENTENCE: WE BELIEVE THIS SENTENCE NEEDS CLARIFICATION. IN OUR VIEW, SECTIONS OF FINAL BELGRADE DOCUMENT WILL BE DRAFTED IN RELEVANT COMMITTEES; THESE SECTIONS MIGHT BE PUT TOGETHER IN SOME SPECIAL WORKING GROUP, BUT SUBSTANTIVE DRAFTING CANNOT, IN OUR VIEW, BE SEPARATED FROM SUBSTANTIVE REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION OR CONSIDERATION OF NEW PROPOSALS.

(F) PAGE 6, PARA 7, DELETE FIRST PHRASE OF FIRST SENTENCE (FROM "ALTHOUGH" THROUGH "MEETING"). WE BELIEVE GENERAL ALLIED APPROACH HAS BEEN KNOWN FOR SOME TIME.

(G) PAGE 9, PARA 21, LAST SENTENCE. IN ORDER TO CLARIFY ANTECEDENT OF "THEM", CHANGE "ALLIED" TO READ "ALLIES'."

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 082235

(H) PAGE 9, TITLE: AS INDICATED IN PARA (D) ABOVE, WE WOULD PREFER ANOTHER TERM RATHER THAN "FOLLOW-UP" PROPOSALS. IF A SUITABLE ALTERNATIVE CANNOT BE FOUND, WE WOULD PREFER TO USE THE TERM "NEW PROPOSALS", ON THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THESE WOULD HAVE TO RELATE TO EXISTING CSCE AGENDA AND FLOW FROM PROVISIONS OF FINAL ACT. SAME COMMENT APPLIES TO TERM "FOLLOW-UP PROPOSALS" WHEREVER IT APPEARS IN IS DOCUMENT (PP. 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 21, 23, 24 AND ANNEX A, P. 1).

(I) PAGE 14, PARA 38, LINE 3: DELETE "DISARMAMENT" AND INSERT "MILITARY SECURITY". (SEE COMMENTS IN PARA (A) ABOVE).

(J) PAGE 17, PARA 38, LINES 9-25. WE APPRECIATE IS EFFORT TO GIVE EXAMPLES OF THEMES TO BE USED IN REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION. HOWEVER, SUCH THEMES SHOULD BE BASED ON SPECIFIC COMMITMENTS CONTAINED IN FINAL ACT. THUS, THEMES TO BE USED UNDER HUMAN CONTACTS SHOULD NOT REPEAT

NOT, IN OUR VIEW, INCLUDE THEMES ON "LACK OF INFORMATION

ABOUT PROCEDURES AND ABOUT REASONS FOR REFUSALS", "RESTRICTIONS ON ACCESS TO FOREIGN EMBASSIES", OR "THE NEED FOR VISITORS TO HAVE AFFIDAVITS OF SUPPORT FROM HOSTS." IS MAY WISH TO REVIEW LIST OF THEMES FOR HUMAN CONTACTS CONTAINED IN STATE 38922.

(K) PAGE 18, PARA (3): CHANGE TITLE "DISARMAMENT" TO READ "CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES AND CERTAIN ASPECTS OF SECURITY AND DISARMAMENT."

(L) PAGE 19, PARA 53: CHANGE SECOND SENTENCE TO READ AS FOLLOWS: "NEW PROPOSALS AND ANY EXPERTS MEETINGS WHICH MAY BE AGREED SHOULD NOT BE EMPHASIZED AT THE EXPENSE OF THE REVIEW, AND THEIR MANDATES MIGHT BE PUT IN ANNEXES."

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 082235

(M) PAGE 22, PARA 66. WE DO NOT REPEAT NOT BELIEVE IT WOULD BE TO WESTERN ADVANTAGE TO AGREE TO A CUT-OFF DATE IN ANY FORM, SINCE THIS WOULD ELIMINATE USEFUL LEVERAGE. WE THEREFORE SUGGEST DELETING THE FINAL SENTENCE OF THIS PARAGRAPH (BEGINNING "FOR EXAMPLE" AND ENDING "FEBRUARY 1978.")

(N) PAGE 22, PARA 70 (B) (CONTINUED ON PAGE 23): AS WE STATED DURING EXPERTS' MEETING, WE WOULD FIND THIS ORGANIZATION ACCEPTABLE. HOWEVER, WE BELIEVE IT IS CUMBERSOME AND WILL BE DIFFICULT TO ACHIEVE CONSENSUS ON. WE BELIEVE ALLIES SHOULD BE SUFFICIENTLY FLEXIBLE ON STRUCTURAL ARRANGEMENTS TO AVOID RAISING AN OBSTACLE TO THE WESTERN OBJECTIVE OF GETTING THROUGH THE PROCEDURAL DISCUSSIONS OF THE PREPARATORY MEETING AND BRINGING ABOUT AN EARLY DISCUSSION OF SUBSTANCE WITH THE EASTERN COUNTRIES. WHILE WE DO NOT OBJECT TO AIMING FOR STRUCTURE AS INDICATED IN THIS PARAGRAPH WE WOULD PREFER INCLUSION OF LANGUAGE INDICATING THIS POINT.

(O) ANNEX A, PP 2-7: IS DRAFT ANNEX CONTAINS SOME IDEAS FOR NEW PROPOSALS WHICH WE HAVE NOT SEEN BEFORE, SOME OF WHICH WILL REQUIRE CAREFUL STUDY IN WASHINGTON BEFORE WE COULD SUPPORT OR EVEN ACCEPT THEM. IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE COMMENTS ON IS PAPER IN TIME FOR APRIL 13 POLADS, WE HAVE OMITTED COMMENTS ON THESE NEW PROPOSALS, AND WILL PROVIDE OUR COMMENTS ON THESE NEW PROPOSALS AT A LATER DATE. YOU SHOULD INDICATE THAT WE HAVE A GENERAL RESERVE ON THESE NEW PROPOSALS UNTIL WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO STUDY THEM. FOR THIS PURPOSE WE WILL APPRECIATE RECEIVING AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE ON THESE NEW IDEAS.

VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ALLIANCE, COLLECTIVE SECURITY, REPORTS, TEXT, PLANNING MEETINGS, AMENDMENTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 12-Apr-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE082235
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: JJMARESCA:PF
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770127-0304
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770464/aaaacdsd.tel
Line Count: 172
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 617035a6-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 08-Dec-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2828185
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: IS DRAFT REPORT ON PREPARATIONS FOR BELGRADE
TAGS: PFOR, US, NATO
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/617035a6-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009